

OCT versus Angiography Guided PCI ILUMIEN IV: OPTIMAL PCI

Ziad A Ali MD DPhil

St Francis Heart Center Cardiovascular Research Foundation New York Institute of Technology <u>ziad.ali@dcvi.org</u> ∑Follow me @ziadalinyc

Background



- PCI is most commonly guided by angiography alone
- OCT is a high-resolution intravascular imaging modality that can be used to guide and optimize PCI
- In ILUMIEN III,¹ OCT guidance improved procedural success compared with angiography guidance
 - Greater stent expansion
 - Reduced major malapposition and major dissection
- Whether OCT can improve clinical outcomes is unknown

Study Flow





ClinicalTrials.gov #NCT03507777



Qualifying High-risk Criteria

High-risk Patient

Medication-treated diabetes mellitus

High-risk Lesion

- NSTEMI
- STEMI >24 hours from symptom onset
- Long or multiple lesions (planned total stent length ≥28 mm)
- Diffuse or multi-focal in-stent restenosis
- Angiographic severe calcification
- Chronic total occlusion
- Bifurcation, planned to be treated with 2 stents



Endpoints

1. Primary Imaging Endpoint (powered) Post-PCI MSA assessed by OCT

Superiority of OCT to angiography $\Delta 0.4 \text{ mm}^2$, SD 2.2 mm², 1600 randomized patients = 95% power at one-sided $\alpha 0.025$

2. Primary Clinical Endpoint (powered) TVF during 2-year follow-up

Superiority of OCT to angiography Control TVF 12.0%, HR 0.65, 1230 randomized patients = 90% power at one-sided α 0.025

3. Safety Endpoints (not powered) Stent thrombosis and procedural complications

Randomization and Follow-up

LUMIEN IN



Study Organization



- Principal Investigators: Ziad Ali, Ulf Landmesser
- Chairman: Gregg Stone
- Academic Research Organization: Cardiovascular Research Foundation
- Steering Committee: Gregg Stone, Ziad Ali, Ulf Landmesser, Takashi Akasaka, Hiram Bezerra, Giulio Guagliumi, Jonathan Hill, Francesco Prati, Matthew Price, Richard Shlofmitz, William Wijns
- Intravascular Imaging Core Lab: CRF Akiko Maehara (Director)
- Angiographic Core Lab: CRF Ivana Jankovic (Deputy Director)
- Data Safety Monitoring: CRF John Hirshfeld (Director)
- Clinical Endpoints Committee: Ozgen Dogan (Chair)
- Site Monitoring & Data Management: Abbott Vascular
- Sponsor and Funding Source: Abbott Vascular

Highest Enrollers



| Investigator | Institution | City, State, Country | Ν |
|-------------------|---------------------------------------|------------------------|-----|
| Richard Shlofmitz | St. Francis Hospital | Roslyn, NY, USA | 301 |
| Franco Fabbiocchi | Centro Cardiologico Monzino | Milan, Italy | 140 |
| Fernando Alfonso | Hospital Universitario de la Princesa | Madrid, Spain | 131 |
| Paolo Canova | Ospedale Papa Giovanni XXIII | Bergamo, Italy | 116 |
| David Leistner | Universitatsmedizin Berlin | Berlin, Germany | 113 |
| Rohit Oemrawsingh | Albert Schweitzer Ziekenhuis | Dordrecht, Netherlands | 82 |
| Matthew Price | Scripps Health | La Jolla, CA, USA | 72 |
| Stephan Achenbach | Kliniken der Friedrich-Alexander | Erlangen, Germany | 69 |
| Carlo Trani | Policlinico Universitario A. Gemelli | Rome, Italy | 68 |
| Balbir Singh | Max Super Specialty Hospital | New Delhi, India | 62 |



Baseline Characteristics

| | OCT (n=1233) | Angio (n=1254) |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|
| Age, years | 65.5 ± 10.5 | 65.7 ± 10.3 |
| Male | 78.5% | 76.2% |
| Hypertension | 71.4% | 74.0% |
| Dyslipidemia | 65.5% | 68.6% |
| Diabetes mellitus | 42.4% | 41.5% |
| Current smoker | 19.6% | 19.7% |
| Serum creatinine, mg/dl | 0.96 ± 0.23 | 0.96 ± 0.25 |
| Silent ischemia | 14.0% | 15.4% |
| Stable angina | 27.0% | 28.5% |
| Acute coronary syndrome | 59.0% | 66.1% |

Qualifying Characteristics



| | OCT (n=1231) | Angio (n=1250) | Difference [95% CI] |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Medication-treated diabetes mellitus | 40.4% | 39.8% | 0.5% (-3.3, 4.4) |
| Long or multiple lesions | 69.3% | 65.9% | 3.4% (-0.3, 7.0) |
| NSTEMI | 24.5% | 23.8% | 0.6% (-2.8, 4.0) |
| Angiographic severe calcification | 11.4% | 11.7% | -0.3% (-2.8, 2.2) |
| In-stent restenosis (ISR) | 10.6% | 11.0% | -0.5% (-2.9, 2.0) |
| Chronic total occlusion (CTO) | 7.6% | 6.3% | 1.3% (-0.7, 3.3) |
| STEMI (>24 hours from onset) | 5.4% | 5.6% | -0.2% (-2.1, 1.6) |
| Bifurcation with 2 planned stents | 3.2% | 3.4% | -0.2% (-1.6, 1.3) |

Angiographic Characteristics



| | OCT (L=1320) | Angio (L=1387) | Difference [95% CI] |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| LAD/LCx/RCA | 53.3/ 19.0/ 27.7% | 50.9/ 20.6/ 28.5% | |
| Thrombus | 6.8% | 7.4% | -0.6% (-2.6, 1.4) |
| Calcification (severe) | 32.0% | 29.7% | 2.3% (-1.2, 5.8) |
| Reference vessel diameter, mm | 2.93 ± 0.43 | 2.90 ± 0.42 | 0.0 (-0.0, 0.01) |
| Minimum lumen diameter, mm | 0.88 ± 0.43 | 0.88 ± 0.42 | -0.0 (-0.0, 0.0) |
| Diameter stenosis, % | 69.8 ± 13.9 | 69.6 ± 13.8 | 0.3 (-0.8, 1.3) |
| Lesion length, mm | 32.9 ± 15.9 | 29.9 ± 16.1 | 3.0 (1.7, 4.2) |
| TIMI III flow | 81.4% | 79.3% | 2.1% (-0.9, 5.2) |

Procedural Characteristics



| | OCT (n=1233) | Angio (n=1254) | Difference [95% Cl] |
|----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Stents per patient | 1.7 ± 0.9 | 1.6 ± 0.8 | 0.1 (0.0, 0.2) |
| Stent length, mm | 44.2 ± 23.8 | 40.5 ± 24.0 | 3.8 (1.9, 5.6) |
| Maximal stent diameter, mm | 3.22 ± 0.48 | 3.11 ± 0.40 | 0.11 (0.07, 0.14) |
| Post-dilatation balloons used, n | 1.6 ± 1.2 | 1.3 ± 1.2 | 0.3 (0.2, 0.4) |
| Maximum device size, mm | 3.67 ± 0.56 | 3.37 ± 0.47 | 0.31 (0.27, 0.34) |
| Maximum inflation pressure, atm | 19.8 ± 3.1 | 18.4 ± 3.3 | 1.4 (1.2, 1.7) |
| Procedure duration, min | 68.3 ± 38.3 | 50.0 ± 35.4 | 18.3 (15.4, 21.2) |
| Fluoroscopy duration, min | 20.9 ± 13.8 | 17.4 ± 11.8 | 3.6 (2.6, 4.6) |
| Radiation dose, Gy | 2.01 ± 1.75 | 1.55 ± 1.36 | 0.46 (0.32, 0.60) |
| Contrast volume, mL | 231.9 ± 88.2 | 198.3 ± 81.7 | 33.7 (27.0, 40.4) |



Primary Imaging Endpoint Final post-PCI MSA by OCT (mm²)

| OCT | Angio | Difference | P-Value |
|-------------|-------------|-------------------|---------|
| L=1222 | L=1328 | [95% CI] | |
| 5.72 ± 2.04 | 5.36 ± 1.87 | 0.36 (0.21, 0.51) | <0.001 |

Stent Expansion Endpoints

| | OCT (L=1228) | Angio (L=1329) | Difference [95% Cl] |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Min stent expansion, % | 80.8 ± 16.8 | 78.0 ± 16.7 | 2.9 (1.6, 4.2) |
| Mean stent expansion, % | 111.3 ± 16.3 | 103.0 ± 17.2 | 8.2 (6.9, 9.5) |
| Stent expansion | | | |
| - Acceptable (≥90%) | 40.5% | 23.3% | 17.2% (13.6, 20.8) |



| | OCT (L=1228) | Angio (L=1329) | Difference [95% CI] |
|-----------------|-----------------|---|------------------------------|
| Dissection, any | 32.0% | 34.2% | -2.2% (-5.9, 1.4) |
| Major | 2.9% | 5.1% | -2.2% (-3.9, -0.6) |
| Minor | 22.7% | 19.4% | 3.3% (-0.1, 6.6) |
| | | Major Diss 1) Angle > 2) Length 20 <u>3mm</u> | ection 60° >3 mm 30 |

Length

Angle



| | OCT (L=1228) | Angio (L=1329) | Difference [95% CI] |
|--------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Malapposition, any | 55.3% | 69.7% | -14.4% (-18.1, -10.6) |
| Major | 15.8% | 33.2% | -17.4% (-20.6, -14.1) |
| Minor | 39.4% | 36.5% | 3.0% (-0.8, 6.7) |



Major

Strut(s) >0.2 mm from vessel edge and stent underexpansion



| | OCT (L=1228) | Angio (L=1329) | Difference [95% CI] |
|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Tissue Protrusion, any | 55.9% | 47.0% | 8.9% (5.0, 12.8) |
| Major | 5.3% | 8.3% | -3.0% (-4.9, -1.0) |
| Minor | 50.6% | 38.7% | 11.9% (8.1, 15.7) |



Major Mass >0.2 mm from vessel edge and protrusion area/stent area ≥10% ILUMIEN IV

ILUMIEN IV

| | OCT (L=1228) | Angio (L=1329) | Difference [95% CI] |
|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Reference Disease, any | 17.3% | 20.1% | -2.8% (-5.9, 0.3) |
| Focal | 9.5% | 12.1% | -2.7% (-5.1, -0.2) |
| Diffuse | 7.8% | 8.0% | -0.1% (-2.3, 2.0) |

MLA in the reference segment <4.5mm²

Angiographic Complications (Core Laboratory)

| | ОСТ (I=1320) | Angio (l=1387) | Difference [95% CI] |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|------------------------|
| Final angiographic complications | 3.6% | 5.3% | -1.7% (-3.3, -0.1) |
| Dissection ≥ type B | 1.2% | 1.5% | -0.3% (-1.2, 0.6) |
| Slow flow or no reflow | 0.2% | 0.5% | -0.3% (-0.8, 0.2) |
| Thrombus | 0.3% | 0.7% | -0.4% (-1.1, 0.2) |
| Abrupt closure | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% (-0.3, 0.3) |
| Perforation | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.2% (-0.1, 0.7) |
| Distal embolization | 0.9% | 1.3% | -0.4% (-1.2, 0.4) |
| Procedure-related stent thrombosis | 0.0% | 0.1% | -0.1% (-0.4, 0.2) |
| Procedure-related thrombotic events | 2.3% | 4.1% | -1.8% (-3.1, -0.4) |
| Catheter-related complications | 0.1% | 0.2% | -0.1% (-0.5, 0.3) |

Primary Clinical Endpoint – Target Vessel Failure

Cardiac Death

Target-Vessel MI

Ischemia-Driven Target Vessel Revascularization

Stent Thrombosis (Def/Prob)

2-Year Clinical Outcomes

| | OCT (n=1233) | Angio (n=1254) | Hazard Ratio (95% CI) |
|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------|
| All-cause mortality | 2.7% | 3.6% | 0.73 (0.47, 1.16) |
| -Cardiac | 0.8% | 1.3% | 0.57 (0.25, 1.29) |
| -Vascular | 0.3% | 0.3% | 0.76 (0.17, 3.38) |
| -Non-cardiovascular | 1.7% | 2.0% | 0.84 (0.46, 1.52) |
| All MI | 4.8% | 6.0% | 0.80 (0.56, 1.13) |
| -TV-MI | 2.5% | 3.3% | 0.77 (0.48, 1.22) |
| -Periprocedural MI | 1.4% | 1.7% | 0.82 (0.43, 1.56) |
| -Non-periprocedural MI | 3.4% | 4.4% | 0.77 (0.51, 1.17) |
| All revascularization | 9.4% | 10.1% | 0.94 (0.72, 1.21) |
| - ID-TVR | 5.6% | 5.6% | 0.99 (0.71, 1.40) |
| - ID-TLR | 4.5% | 4.3% | 1.05 (0.71, 1.54) |
| - ID-TVR/non-TLR | 1.8% | 2.4% | 0.79 (0.45, 1.38) |

Covid Impact

Conclusions 1

 OCT-guidance resulted in a larger MSA than angiography guidance, with greater stent expansion

 OCT-guidance led to fewer major dissections, major malapposition, major tissue protrusion and untreated focal reference segment disease

OCT-guidance reduced angiographic complications

Conclusions 2

- The 2-year rates of TVF were not statistically different between OCT-guided and angiography-guided PCI
- OCT-guided PCI significantly reduced stent thrombosis
- There were trends for fewer cardiac deaths and MI with OCTguidance, consistent with prior intravascular-imaging studies
- Rates of TVR were lower than expected, a finding possibly impacted by the COVID pandemic

Simultaneous Publication

NEJM

The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

LUMIEN

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Optical Coherence Tomography–Guided versus Angiography-Guided PCI

Ziad A. Ali, M.D., D.Phil., Ulf Landmesser, M.D., Akiko Maehara, M.D., Mitsuaki Matsumura, B.S., Richard A. Shlofmitz, M.D., Giulio Guagliumi, M.D., Matthew J. Price, M.D., Jonathan M. Hill, M.D., Takashi Akasaka, M.D., Francesco Prati, M.D., Hiram G. Bezerra, M.D., William Wijns, M.D., Ph.D., David Leistner, M.D., Paolo Canova, M.D., Fernando Alfonso, M.D., Franco Fabbiocchi, M.D., Ozgen Dogan, M.D., Robert J. McGreevy, Ph.D., Robert W. McNutt, Ph.D., Hong Nie, Ph.D., Jana Buccola, M.S., Nick E.J. West, M.D., and Gregg W. Stone, M.D., for the ILUMIEN IV Investigators*