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INTRODUCTION

- Trans-catheter ablation represents a valid treatment option in patients with drug-refractory symptomatic atrial fibrillation (AF).

- The majority of catheter ablation trials have mainly enrolled patients with preserved left ventricular (LV) systolic function and paroxysmal AF.

- In these patients the ablative treatment has shown to be effective in reducing morbidity, improving the quality of life (QoL) and functional capacity.

- However, a significant number of patients with AF also have LV systolic dysfunction.
AF and heart failure (HF) frequently coexist and are often associated with several common predisposing risk factors such as hypertension, coronary artery disease (CAD), structural heart disease (non-ischemic, valvular), diabetes mellitus, obesity and obstructive sleep apnea (OSA).

Importantly, the prevalence of AF increases with HF severity, ranging from 5% in functional class I patients to approximately 50% in class IV patients.

Also, the prevalence of HF in patients with AF has been estimated at 42%. The combination of HF and AF lead to deleterious hemodynamic and symptomatic consequences.

Rhythm control with antiarrhythmic drugs (AADs) has not shown satisfactory results in randomized trials both in patients with or without HF.
RFCA in Pts with Left Ventricular Dysfunction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Name</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Design</th>
<th>Pt. N</th>
<th>Mean Age</th>
<th>Mean LVEF</th>
<th>AF Type</th>
<th>FU (mos)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chen et al.</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsu et al.</td>
<td>2004</td>
<td>Case-Control</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gentlesk et al.</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>PAF, PerAF</td>
<td>3-6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efremidis et al.</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>PAF, PerAF</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lutomsky et al.</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>PAF</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khan et al.</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De Potter et al.</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Case-Control</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Choi et al.</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>Case-control</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>PAF, PerAF</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MacDonald et al.</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>RCT</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>PerAF</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Freedom from recurrent arrhythmia after RFCA of AF in pts with left ventricular dysfunction
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Success
LVEF Improvement after RFCA of AF

* Significant improvement
AIM OF THE STUDY

We sought to investigate whether catheter ablation is superior to Amiodarone for the treatment of persistent AF in patients with Heart Failure (HF) in a randomized trial.
Methods

• AATAC was a randomized, parallel-group, multicenter study assessing whether catheter ablation is superior to amiodarone for the treatment of AF

• Power Calculation: 100 patients per group were required to detect at least 20% difference (30% to 50%) at 24 month follow-up with 5% alpha and 80% power, using log-rank test

• 203 patients were enrolled in the study and randomly assigned (1:1 ratio) to:
  • Undergo catheter ablation (Group I, n=102)
  • Or receive amiodarone, (group 2=101)

• Patients ≥18 years of age, with persistent AF, having dual chamber ICD or CRTD, NYHA II-III and LV EF ≤40% within the last 6 months were included in this trial
Methods

• Primary Endpoint: Long-term procedural-success
  – Procedural success was defined as freedom from AF, AFL, or AT of > 30 second duration off-AAD
  – In the ablation arm, a second ablation was allowed in the 3-month blanking period, and any AT after was considered as recurrence

• Secondary endpoints included:
  – All-cause mortality
  – Cardiac related re-hospitalizations during post-ablation follow-up (AF/CHF related).
  – Change in LVEF,
  – 6-minute walk distance (6MWD)
  – Quality of Life measured by Minnesota Living with Heart Failure questionnaire (MLHFQ)
Methods

203 Patients Enrolled
(≥18 years, persistent AF, dual chamber ICD or CRTD, NYHA II-III, LV EF ≤40%)

Randomized 1:1

Catheter Ablation (Group 1): n=102

Amiodarone (group 2): n=101

Baseline: LVEF, 6MWD, MLHFQ

End of Trial: LVEF, 6MWD, MLHFQ

MO- month, 6MWD – 6 minute walk distance,
MLHFQ - Minnesota Living with Heart Failure questionnaire
Kaplan–Meier curves comparing success rate

70% in group 1, 34% patients in group 2 were recurrence-free with around 10% of Amio discontinuation due to side effect
Results: Arrhythmia Recurrence

• In the 102 patients undergoing catheter ablation,
  – PVI plus posterior wall and non pv trigger ablation was done in 80 patients
  – PVI alone was performed in 22

• Higher success rate in patients undergoing PVI plus ablation compared to PVI alone
  – PVI+PW: 63 (78.8%)
  – PVI alone: 8 (36.4%), p <0.001
At baseline the LVEF, 6MWD, and MLHFQ scores were not different between catheter ablation and amiodarone groups. At the end of follow-up, recurrence free patients (n=105) experienced significantly better improvement in all parameters compared to those who experienced recurrence (n=98).

- LVEF improved 9.6±7.4%, vs. 4.2±6.2% (p<0.001),
- 6MWD changed 27±38 vs. 8±42 (p<0.001),
- MLHFQ score reduced 14±18 vs. 2.9±15 (p<0.001) in recurrence-free versus patients with recurrence

LVEF- left ventricular ejection fraction
6MWD – 6 minute walk distance
MLHFQ - Minnesota Living with Heart Failure questionnaire
Hospitalization and Mortality

- Over the 2 year follow-up:
  - Hospitalization rate substantially lower in Group 1 (32 [31%] vs. 58 [57%] in group 2, p < 0.001)
  - All-cause Mortality in:
    - Group 1 (8 [8%]) and 18 [18%] group 2, log-rank p=0.037);
CONCLUSION

- This multicenter randomized study shows that catheter ablation of Persistent AF is superior to Amiodarone in achieving freedom from AF at long term follow up and reducing hospitalization and mortality in patients with heart failure.

- The potential socio-economic repercussion of these results will require further investigation.
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